## **REMARKS**

In the Office Action mailed January 27, 2006, the Examiner has required restriction between the following species:

- I. directed to a parameter identification used in the design of the adaptation algorithm;
- II. directed to an Extended Kalman Filter used in the design of the adaptation algorithm; and
- III. directed to a neuro-fuzzy method used in the design of the adaptation algorithm.

Applicants hereby elect, with traverse, Species 1, directed to a parameter identification used in the design of the adaptation algorithm for further prosecution on the merits. Applicants submit that prosecution of claims of claims 11, 14-20, 22, 24-26, 29-34 and 36-46 is in order. Applicants have amended the claims so that each of the now pending claims is directed to Species 1 and therefore, should be considered by the Examiner.

Consequently, examination of all of the claims is in order.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: February 23, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Edward J. Ellis

Registration No.: 40,389 DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant